

Produce Safety After Urban Wildfire Citizen Science Initiative -- UC Cooperative Extension Sonoma

Julia Van Soelen Kim, Rob Bennaton & Mimi Enright, UCCE with Vanessa Raditz & Suzi Grady







1 of Sonoma County residents surveyed in 2018 018 (n > 2000) were concerned about out the produce ce-safety due to fire ine

Smoke Can Carry ry Chemical Contaminants nts

"Smoke" Measured as Particulate Matter (PM) Carries Other Chemicals





What is "Unsafe?"

LIKELIHOOD OF HEALTH OUTCOMES

Chemical Toxicity (Type, []) Chemical Persistence in Body Chemical Exposure-Duration in Environs Individual Condition(s) / Personal State of Health



Summary

- Study sought to determine whether produce safety was impacted after wildfire smoke deposited ash on soil and produce.
- Results: Produce safety was not significantly affected by the fire (in limited term).
- Cumulative analysis suggests eating trace contaminants on produce does not provide a significant increase in chemical exposure during a single urban wildfire event. More testing is needed.
- Common-sense best practices can reduce exposure (short & long term).
- Community workshops started April, 2019.

Analysis & Testing

1. Meteorological Deposition Models



2. CARB Air Pollution Monitors



3. Proximal Fire-Distance



Produce Safety after Urban Wildfire, UCCE Sonoma County

1.00

0.95

0.90

Background & Methods ods

IN JUST A MONTH - DURING ONGOING FIRES



15+ Volunteers - 25+ Sites (5 Tested) - 200+ Samples 5 Sites / 3 Sets of Composite Samples (Only 2 Tested)

Chemical Groups Analyzed zed

			Pb (500 Cioning	
Poly	cyclic Aromatic oCarbons (PAHs)	Heavy Metals	Hg Henciny As	
Poly	chlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Dioxins & Furans		
		CI	To to	

What is "Unsafe?" ?"

Proposition 65 and Soil Screening Levels (Soil Screening Levels from OEHHA & EPA):

Both Methods Result in Over-Estimates of Risk

Results

PRODUCE SUMMARY: Low Concern, More Testing Needed

- No detectable PAHs or PCBs.
- No detectable Dioxins and Furans in 12 of 13 samples.
 Sample w/ Detectable Levels: [Dioxins] @

< CA Prop 65 "No Significant Risk Level." (NSRL)

- No detectable Prop 65-regulated heavy metals in 12 / 13 samples.
- One sample w/ Ni @ [] > Prop 65 NSRL.
- No detectable levels of lead, arsenic, mercury, or chromium.

Results

SOIL SUMMARY: Low Concern, More Testing Needed

- [Heavy Metal] Soil < Sonoma's post-fire clean-up goals.
- No Detectable PCBs.
- Site closest to Santa Rosa fires Had Highest [Dioxins], at > EPA and OEHHA soil screening levels.
- We Cannot Verify Pre-Fire Contaminant Concentrations.

Cumulative Risk Assessment ent

Cumulative Risk Assessments interpret risk as per exposure, vulnerability and protective factors.



Other Exposures

Of all Post-Fire Concerns, Produce Safety can be a Low Concern.

Knowledge ~ Risk-Assessment and decisions for considering: Family Proximity to Fire and Any Vulnerabilities (e.g. pregnant, kids and elders, disabled) <u>Reduce Risk Via</u> <u>Best Practices for Reducing</u> <u>Probability of Exposure</u>

TestContain &Wash/RinseYourAmend YourYourSoilsSoils/OtherProducePracticesYour

Why are soil contaminants a concern in urban areas?

Contaminants Can Be Spread through Dust Particles in the Air:

Why are soil contaminants a concern in urban areas? **Contaminants Can:** -Inhibit Plant Growth -Affect Human Health! -Persist in Soils Long Term

-Persist without Us Knowing

Plant/Crop-Contaminant Exposure Pathways

Through Plants Roots→Plant Root Uptake(In Plants=Lab tests)(Plant-Internal/Now what?)

On Plants' Parts/Leaves → Topical (ALL Plant/Leaf Surfaces (Plant-External/Wash Dust) (=Lab Tests/Not Visible to Naked Eye) If contamination found, how manage soils? Use Best management practices based on case.

Where to start?

Understand/Interpret:

- Site History
- Soil Test



- Map Your Sample Sites in Advance of Sending to Lab!!!!
- Remediation versus
 Best Management Practices

Best Practices: Recognize Potential for Contamination → Know Risks

- Research Site History
- Test Soils: Dont Guess! Research! Investigate! Do Soil Tests!!
- Buy Organic Materials Review Institute (OMRI)
- Wear Gloves & Practice Good Hygiene/Boots

Best Practices:

 \rightarrow

 \rightarrow

Import Clean Soils/Make Raise Beds

Amend Compost/OM

Mulch

Raise

Beds

Sub-Surface Irrigate To Bind Soil Contaminants With with P & Dilute Contaminants

To Prevent Airborne Soil Dust
 & Prevent Up-splash

To Prevent Up-splash/Spreading Particles

Best Practices: Adjust pH → Neutral pH → Optimal pH Growth/Nutrition

 \rightarrow

Promote Good Drainage

Soil Contaminants Concertrate @Slopes-Bottoms/Allow H20 Infiltration

Post-Harvest→ Produce

Soak in Vinegar/Wash & Peel Root Crops

DURING A WILDFIRE

SAFETY TIPS FOR LOCAL FOOD GROWERS



Resourcess

Website with resources and reports:

http://cesonoma.ucanr.edu/Produce_Safety_after_Urban_Wildfire/

Join GoogleGroup for Updates:

https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum/produce-safety-after-urban-wildfire

Recent article on our work:

https://psmag.com/environment/is-it-safe-to-eat-local-produce-after-a-wildfire



PRELIMINARY REPORT

Produce Safety after Urban Wildfire Citizen Science Initiative UC Cooperative Extension Sonoma

Thank You!!!

Thank you to ANR for the Opportunity Grant – Seed \$.

Through ANR Grant, we Leveraged the Bay Area Air Quality Management District \$.







Julia Van Soelen Kim

Rob Bennaton

jvansoelen@ucanr.edu

rbennaton@ucanr.edu



Produce Safety After Urban Wildfire Citizen Science Initiative -- UC Cooperative Extension Sonoma

Julia Van Soelen Kim, Rob Bennaton & Mimi Enright, UCCE with Vanessa Raditz & Suzi Grady





