Water conservation benefits and environmental concerns of managed agricultural aquifer recharge

Helen Dahlke, Nick Murphy, Elad Levintal, Yonatan Ganot, Nicholas Clark

Department of Land, Air and Water Resources

Email: hdahlke@ucdavis.edu





Golden State Dairy Management Conference, March 23, 2022

Sustainable Groundwater Management Act - Update

SGMA Implementation Timeline



Addressing groundwater overdraft in California



PPIC, 2020, A Review of San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Sustainability Plans

Addressing groundwater overdraft in California



Capture as much water as we can...

Recharge Basins limited capacity, best with steady water supply



On-farm recharge unlimited spreading area, best for biggest storms





Groundwater recharge using agricultural land





Kearney Research and Extension Center Thompson seedless grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) flooded 2 and 4 weeks in Feb 2020, 2021



Risk of nitrate leaching

- Majority of nitrate is leached during the first week of flooding
- Initial nitrate concentrations determine amount leached



(A) No observed GHG emissions during the flooding period (B) Main Increase in N_2O emissions is observed after fertilization



Kearney Research and Extension Center Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) variety Ameristand 835NT RR, flooded in March 2019

Crop tolerance to winter/spring recharge

Flooding impacts digestible fiber content

2019	Treatment	Amylase-treated neutral detergent fiber (aNDF)		ed ent	ŀ	Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)			Ash			Crude Protein (CP)				
Control	1	39.75	Good	b	31	54 Good	b	a :	12.07		а	21.56	Prem	ium	а	
4 on 10 off	2	42.23	Fair	а	33	.31 Fair		a :	11.79	High	а	20.17	Prem	ium	b	
3 on 4 off	3	40.72	Fair	at	32	.02 Fair		a :	11.96 🖌		а	20.76	Prem	ium	ab	
p-value			<i>p=0.047</i>			p=0.078			p=(0.69		<i>p=0.036</i>				
2020	Treatment		Amylase-treated neutral detergei fiber (aNDF)			Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)			Ash	Ash		Crude Protein (CP)				
Commercial control	4	4	1 Fair		b) 33.76 Faii	r	b	11.02	High	b	21.0	07 Premiu	m	а	
Irrigation contro	ol 1	4	42.2 Fair		b	35.02 Fai	r	b	13.22	High	а	a 22.22 Supreme			а	
4 on 10 off	2	4	47.11 Utility		а	39.35 Uti	lity		13.61	High	а	a 19.01 Good			b	
3 on 4 off	3	4	48.28 Utility		а	40.03 Utility		а	13.29	13.29 High		a 18.11 Good			b	
			p< 0.001			p< 0.001			p< 0.0	001		p< 0.001				
aNDF = total insoluble fiber in feeds ADF = least digestible fiber, subset of aNDF Ash = total mineral content CP = nitrogen content of alfalfa amino acids					5		Supr Prem Good Fair Util	eme ium itv	ADF <27 27-29 29-32 32-35 >35	NDF <34 34-36 2 36-40 5 40-44 >44	RF >1 170 150 130 <1	V 85 -185 -170 -150 30	TDN-100% >62 60.5-62 58-60 56-58 <56	TDN- >55. 54.5- 52.5- 50.5- <50.	90% 9 55.9 54.5 52.5 5	CP-1005 >22 20-22 18-20 16-18 <16

Safe water application duration decision support tool

Spreadsheet:

https://ars.els-cdn.com/content/image/1-s2.0-S0378377421002961-mmc1.xlsx

UCDAVIS

Trafficability after dormant season deep wetting

Soil Agricultural Groundwater Banking Index (SAGBI)

http://casoilresource.ucdavis.edu/sagbi/

O'Geen et al. 2015, CalAg

Conclusions

- Pulsed flooding can recharge 1x and 2x of growing season water demand with little impact on yield
- Soil texture affects the amount of nitrate leached under Ag-MAR
- Most nitrate is leached within hours days (depending on initial load)
- Mineralization of organic nitrogen might produce substantial amounts of nitrate under favorable conditions (high water content but aerated soil)
- In fine textured soils reducing time between flooding events reduces nitrate produced by mineralization and increases denitrification
- Development of several decision support tools under way
 - Time to trafficability
 - Safe water application duration estimation tool

16/17

UCDAVIS

Many **THANKS** to my students, postdocs and collaborators!

Astrid Volder, David Doll, Roger Duncan, Cristina Prieto Garcia, Bruce Lampinen, Dan Putnam, Ken Shackel

GORDON AND BETTY

UNDA

FO

17/17

Questions?