2022 ANR Customer Satisfaction Survey

Updated 2024 for Year 2

Office of Contracts & Grants

PRIMARY OPPORTUNITIES				
Prior Year 2021-22	Current Year 2022-23	PO Identified	ACTIONS TAKEN/IN PROCESS	OUTCOME/HIGHLIGHTS
3.94	3.88	Moving in a positive	Final Response:	Year 2 (2023 updates)
3.34	3.00		Timar Response.	rear 2 (2020 apaates)
		direction to better		
		meet my needs		
			Creation of templates for commonly	
			requested documents that PI's can use for	Commonly used proposal templates have
			proposal creation	been added to our website.
				Additional staff recruitments are underway.
			think more staff and adjusted workloads will	
			go a long way towards allowing us to	
			respond in a more timely manner so that	
			needs are met. 2) Better support staff to	
			Academics. More and more we are engaged	
			in non traditional SPO activities that take	
			our time away from what we are tasked	
			with.	
			More in depth training for staff to better	
			serve customers	
3.82	3.80	Approval Time	Final Response:	

		1) additional staffing is already under	Additional staff recruitments are underway.
		recruitment to accommodate higher	
		workload. 2) I'm assuming this is on awards,	
		not proposals. I know I personnally could be	
		better in terms on following up on pending	
		actions that are out to Sponsor/PI/or Sub	
		which may help in some cases, however,	
		some sponsors do take awhile to return	
		contracts which is outside of our control,	
		such as waiting for Board meetings or DGS	
		 signature.	
	Γ		1. Create a standard approval timeline that all
		all county directors are made aware of.	county directors are made aware of.
		1) Increase C&G Analysts to adjust individual	
		workload. 2) C&G Analysts provide more	
		timely updates to Academics re: status of	
		items and explain more fully when things	
		are beyond our control as well as confirm	
		which things are not in our wheelhouse.	
		There is a lot of misconception about what	
		we are responsible for and many requests	
		come in about the status of items that are	
		not a part of our roles or responsibilities (i.e.	
		post award) 3) remind PIs that they have a	
		responsibility to provide us things in a timely	
		manner. Responding to 5-alarm fires created	
		by a PI can completely disrupt the Analyst's	
		workflow and cause delays on other items	
		being worked on. 4) Reducing administrative	
		burden when possible. The re-	
		establishment of certain verified sponsor	
		policy waivers in REMS will assist greatly	
		(one example).	
		Investigate areas under our control to	
		streamline process in order to facilitate	
		quicker approval rate.	

3.87	3.81	Approval Workflow process	Final Response:	
			1) Create easy summary of workflow process and ANR partnering units. 2) do we know where the concern is exactly? I.e. are PIs not being kept informed of where something is in the signature process? Or confusion on which unit to go to, OCG or BOC, on different actions? 3) There seems like there has been a delay recently in fund and account numbers being set up. I've had several PIs following up on the status of their accounts on good news letters that we sent out a month or more prior. Also, I've been getting frequent requests from CGA to re-email amendments becuase the analyst working on the action is helping out the assigned analyst and they didn't get a copy of the amendment. I'm not sure why they can't locate it from their efa@ucdavis.edu email. The workflow process between OCG, CGA, and BOC could be improved.	
			Update the proposal steps on the C&G website to something more concise	2. Have some sort of system that directly links the submission of proposals with IRB/IACUC/Compliance requests
			1) Increase C&G Analysts to adjust individual workload. 2) communicate more effectively on the process for getting items approved and clarity on where OCG roles end and where other units start	

	Institute staff protocol to "checkin" with	
	items assigned to them in GTS and keep	
	clients advised of progress of their proposals	
	and awards	

ADDITIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IDENTIFIED	ACTIONS TAKEN/IN PROCESS	OUTCOME/HIGHLIGHTS	
Effectively uses website to provide access to information and services (3.72) Year 2 (3.66)	Final Response (Year 1)	Year 2 (2023 updates)	
	1) UC ANR has been saying for years that the website will be going to a new format and we've been holding off on major website changes in anticipation of this new format. Do we know when they'll finally switch over? Or do we start working on revising the website now? 2) Should we eliminate the PI Hub and just focus on the website? 1. We should take a look at how easy it is to find information on our website 1) make our website easier to navigate? 2) training on how to use our website? Maintain website with most recent and upto-date information and update FAQ section		
Clarity of Policy (3.93) Year 2 (3.61)	Final Response:	Year 2 (2023 updates)	

	1	
	Post relevant policies on our website and	In the works In the works
	provide FAQ if needed.	In the works
Clear Procedures (3.96) Year 2 (3.71)	Final Response:	Year 2 (2023 updates)

	1) for all of these, I think we should reconsider the "welcome packet" to new advisors email that I suggested a couple years ago. That welcome email would include links to the different monthly webinar recordings, as well as links to our website, and information on applicable policy/procedures. However, it shouldn't overwhelm new advisors with too much information all at once, just provide basic information and links for where they can find additional information once they are ready to start working on proposals. 1. Work with PI's to ensure they are aware what is being asked of them and what we will be providing for their grants and proposals 1) provide additional clarifications on procedures regarding OCG; 2) some confusion exists because depending on project/sponsor/ the procedure may be different. Just when a PI thinks they understand the procedure they engage a totally different sponsor and what they thought was true no longer holds. Ex: someone only familiar with marketing orders collaborates with another University	Welcome packets go out to all new academics at ANR within a few weeks of them coming on board. A list of training items, websites and links are given as well as their contact analyst.
	on a USDA-NIFA proposal. Stay current with relevant procedure and policies with regards to proposal submission	
	guidelines.	
Training for clientele (4.00) Year 2 (3.69)	Final Response:	Year 2 (2023 updates)

1) for all of those I think we should	
1) for all of these, I think we should	
reconsider the "welcome packet" to new	
advisors email that I suggested a couple	
years ago. That welcome email would	
include links to the different monthly	
webinar recordings, as well as links to our	
website, and information on applicable	
policy/procedures. However, it shouldn't	
overwhelm new advisors with too much	
information all at once, just provide basic	Welcome packets go out to all new academics
information and links for where they can	at ANR within a few weeks of them coming on
find additional information once they are	board. A list of training items, websites and
ready to start working on proposals.	links are given as well as their contact analyst.
1. The County Directors should have a	
special training just for them where we	
highlight the most important proposal and	Director/Interim Director attends monthly
award information.	meetings and gives updates as needed.
1) provide targeted training for new	
academics that just covers the basics of	"Fast Track" training was created in Spring
where our website is, what is GTS, how to	2023 for new academics to go over Intro to
find your analyst, etc.	Contracts and Grants, GTS, etc.
In addition to our monthly webinar; institute	
weekly "office hours" for each analyst (via	Contracts and Grants attends BOC Office
Zoom) to better communicate and answer	hours on second Thursday of the month to
questions for clientele.	address any questions that may arise.